

GS MAINS ADVANCE

The Big Question for GS Mains 2020!

PRACTICE SESSION (30 Min.)

1.	"Fears of resource scarcity are not new. But the current spectre of resource insecurity
	has come back with a vengeance". Analyze the statement with respect to the latest
	global trends in the production and consumption of key resources.

1.	has come back with a vengeance". Analyze the statement with respect to the latest global trends in the production and consumption of key resources.
Ans	5.
•	Key concept around which question has been framed (10 words)
•	Three key points around which question can be analyzed (30 words)
•	Two examples:
•	Point out limitations in your approach (Post discussion exercise)

2.	effectiveness, which seems to be lacking in the present context. Do you agree with the view that drastic reforms are required in Civil Services. Comment.
Ans	s.
•	Key concept around which question has been framed (10 words)
•	Three key points around which question can be analyzed (30 words)
•	Point out limitations in your approach (Post discussion exercise)

3.	giving suitable illustrations.			
Ans.				
•	Key concept around which question has been framed (10 words)			
•	Three key points around which question can be analyzed (30 words)			
•	Two examples:			
•	Point out limitations in your approach (Post discussion exercise)			

4.	You have just been hired as a divorce mediator by a local law firm. What two things would you do to reduce the conflict between opposing spouses?			
Ans.				
•	Key concept around which question has been framed (10 words)			
•	Three key points around which question can be analyzed (30 words)			
•	Point out limitations in your approach (Post discussion exercise)			

5.	Edward Snowden, a computer expert and former CIA administrator, released confidential Government documents to the press about the existence of Government surveillance programmes. According to many legal experts and the US Government, his action violated the Espionage act of 1971, which identified the leak of State secret as an act of treason. Yet, despite the fact that he broke the law, Snowden argued that he had a moral obligation to act. He gave a justification for his "whistle blowing" by stating that he had a duty "to inform the public as to that which is done in there name and that which is done against them." According to Snowden, the Government's violation of privacy had to be exposed regardless of legality since more substantive issues of social action and public morality were involved here. Many agreed with Snowden. Few argued that he broke the law and compromised national security, for which he should be held accountable. Do you agree that Snowden's actions were ethically justified even if legally prohibited?
	Why or why not? Make an argument by weighing the competing values in this case?
An:	s. Final stand (20 words)
•	Justify your stand (20 words)
•	Point out limitations in your approach (Post discussion exercise)